

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,010	10/21/2005	Dirk Jeroen Breebart	NL030489	9918	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 09/03/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			PULLIAS, JESSE SCOTT		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2626	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/554,010	BREEBART ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JESSE S. PULLIAS	2626	

	JESSE S. PULLIAS	2626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 10 bx 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY OFICK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above; if checket. A vry reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		inpliant / information (TOL OLT,					
Applicant's reply has overcome the lollowing rejection(s)								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 13.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(4)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Talivaldis Ivars Smits/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626	/Jesse S. Pullias/ Examiner, Art Unit 2626							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amended claim 1 now requires that the "average of subsequent values" be an "average of subsequent values alone" and "not including preceding values", which is more specific than the previous claim language which only required "an average of subsequent values", and so it rules out prior art which e.g. takes a central moving average and would require further search for prior art which, e.g., takes only a forward moving average.

Additionally, the proposed amendment to page 7 line 12- page 8 line 9 of the specification would have to be considered to determine whether it contains new matter. Although the Remarks argue on page 9 that "The added clarification can be reasonably inferred from at least the same paragraph of the specification, thus no new matter has been added", it is unclear why the fact that only "subsequent values" are mentioned would necessarily mean that no preceding values may be included.